

Dean Students' Welfare/छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष राजीव गांधी विश्वविद्यालय/Rajiv Gandhi University

रोनोहिल्स, दोईमुख/Rono Hills, Doimukh अरुणाचल प्रदेश–७९१११२/ Arunachal Pradesh – 791 112

No.: RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024

Date: 02.10.2024



Mr. Nabam Doni, MA 3rd Sem (Psychology) RGU and President Candidate (Contested) RGUSU Election 2024-2025 Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India.

Sub: Decision of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 on complaints submitted regarding

Dear Mr. Doni

The enquiry report, conclusion and final decision of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 with regards to your two Complaints filed to this GRC office on dated 22nd September 2024 and 25 September 2024 is enclosed herewith for your perusal and record which is self-explanatory.

(Prof. Hui Tag) Dean of Students' Welfare छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Copy to:

- 1. PA to Vice Chancellor, RGU Doimukh, Arunachal Pradesh for information please.
- 2. PA to Registrar, RGU for information and record please.
- 3. Mr. Kipa Raja, Sub-Divisional Officer (Magistrate), Doimukh Sub Division for information please.
- 4. Prof. Tasi Kaye, Returning Officer, RGUSU Election 2024 for information please.
- Mr. Charu Markum (Respondent), President (Elect) RGUSU 2024-2025 for information and compliance.
- 6. Mr. Nangbia Palo (Respondent), Jarbom Gamlin Law College, Jote for information.
- 7. Mr. Hidung Pol (Respondent), Arunachal Law Academy, Lekhi for information.
- 8. Mr. Habu Taluk (Respondent), Asstt. Secy Art and Culture, KDDSU and ESS Sector Itanagar for information
- 9. Joint Director, Computer Center, Rajiv Gandhi University for uploading in the RGU website.
- 10. Mr. Badal Techi, Senior Security Officer, Rajiv Gandhi University for information please.
- 11. Office copy

(Prof. Hui Tag)

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Office of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112, Arunachal Pradesh, India

Date: 2 October 2024 Rono Hills, RGU Campus

Meeting Minute on Complaints Hearing and Decision of the Grievances Redressal Committee

The 2nd and final meeting for redressal and disposal of the complaints submitted to Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU 2024 Election by Mr. Nabam Doni, President Candidate (Contest) filed on dated 22 September 2024, and 25 September 2024 was held at Office of the DSW RGU on 02 October 2024.

In the meeting following members of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 were present:

- 1. Prof. Hui Tag, DSW RGU and Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee RGUSU Election
- 2. Prof. Oken Lego, Department of Hindi RGU as Members
- 3. Mr. Kipa Raja, Sub Divisional Officer, Doimukh, Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh as Member.
- 4. Mr. Solung Sonam, Deputy Registrar Estt. (N/T) RGU as Member
- 5. Mr. Prakash Brey, MSc (3RD Sem Botany), RGU as student representative member (Boy)
- Ms Teli Mepu, MA (3rd Sem, English), RGU as student representative member (Girl)
- 7. Dr. Tabang Mibang, Convener and Department of Pol Science, RGU.

Members present have critically examined the two complaints of the complainants, previous hearing and minutes, and the replies of the respondents. Complaints and replies of the respondents were interpreted in the line of Lyngdoh Commission Recommendations 2006. The techno-legal aspects and ethical merits of the evidences submitted by the complainants were critically examined by the committee. The complaints of the complainants and the replies of the respondents recorded in both verbal and written form during hearing session at Grievances Redressal Office at RGU were cross verified through the independent investigation report submitted by the Investigating Officer (IO) of Senior Security Officer Rank, RGU and these reports were further corroborated with the independent report submitted by Returning Officer (RO) of RGUSU Election 2024.

After careful examinations of the verifiable facts and evidences produced by both the parties (Complainant and Respondents), the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 has finalized the Enquiry Report with final Conclusion and Decision (Judgement) which is enclosed as under with necessary annexures.

The detail enquiry report, conclusion and final decision of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 could be accessed at RGU website.

Signature of Members Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Prof. Hui Tag

Chairman & DSW

Prof Oken Lego

Member

Papum Pare Di Representative of Sub-Dointikh

Doimukh

Deputy Registrar Estt. (N/T)

RGU

Student Representative (Boy)

RGU

Student Representative (Girl)

RGU

Dr. Tabang Mibang

Convener, Grievances Redressal Committee

RGUSUS ELECTION 2024 RGU

Enquiry Report, Conclusion and Decision of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024

The Office of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 received first complaint from Mr. Nabam Doni, M.A (Psychology, 3rd Sem), RGU, Presidential candidate (Contest), hereafter refer to as "complainant" submitted his complaint to this Office on dated 22 September 2024 through office of the Returning Officer (RO), RGUSU Election 2024. In his complaint (Annexure I), the complainant stated Mr. Charu Markum, MA 3rd Semester Anthropology (Presidential candidate), hereafter refer to as respondent 1, and three other persons, namely Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk, alleged as outsiders, seen at the premises of the Returning Officer at RGU campus during RGUSU-2024 Election. Complainant in his complaint statement claimed that the three persons were allegedly linked with Mr. Charu Markum (Presidential candidate) and their presence within the premises of Office of the Returning Officer (RO), RGUSU 2024 could have influence the election outcome.

First hearing of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 with reference to the above-mentioned complaint was held on 23 September 2024 at DSW Office RGU. A verbal and written clarification (Annexure II) was sought from the prime respondent Mr. Charu Markum (Presidential Candidate) and three others, namely 1. Mr. Nangbia Palo, 2. Mr. Hidung Pol and 3. Mr. Habu Taluk on dated 23.09.2024 at DSW Office RGU to prove their alleged link in direct participation and influence on RGUSU 2024 Election.

In response to the summon letter (Dated 23.09.2024) of Chairman Grievances Committee RGUSU 2024 issued to main respondent 1 Mr. Charu Markum (Presidential Candidates) and three others, all the three other respondents appeared before the members of Grievances Redressal Committee at DSW Office RGU, submitted their verbal and written response on 23.09.2024 (Time 11.00 AM). In their reply and justification, the first respondent Mr. Charu Markum while terming the complaint as defamatory and baseless clarified that (Annexure III), Mr. Nangbia Palo is a Finance Secretary of RGUSU Executive Body Member of 2023-2024 Session while Mr. Hidung Pol is a Convenor of RGUSU 2023-2024. Mr. Charu Markum further clarified that they are not his voters and campaigner, and he stated that he has nothing to do with the campus visit of both Nangbia Palo and Mr. Hidung Pol as they are still executive body members of RGUSU till new incumbents is elected. The first respondent Mr. Charu Markum further denied his alleged link and influence of Nangbia and Pol in recent RGUSU 2024 election. Mr. Charu Markum further clarified before the Grievance Redressal Committee that he has no idea about the presence of Mr. Habu Taluk at RGU Campus and denied his involvement in the RGUSU Election (Annexure IIIA,B,C).

The Grievances Redressal Committee after critical examination of the complaint of complainant and response of the respondents, submitted their report in minute form (Annexure IV) to the Competent Authority of Rajiv Gandhi University (RGU) for a perusal and decision citing the reason need for further enquiry/investigation into the matter to unearth the veracity of the complaint filed by aggrieved and reply of the respondents.

As a follow up to the enquiry report submitted by Grievances Redressal Committee, the competent authority of RGU (vide Letter No.: ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006, Dated 24 Sept. 2024) (Annexure V) has authorized the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 to conduct independent investigation and inquiries with the aid of legal consultation and Investigation Officer of RGU, and to give the final judgement and submit the judgment copy to the CA for record.

This committee received 2nd Complaint on 25 September 2024 (Time: 3.30 PM) from the same complainant (**Mr. Nabam Doni**, MA 3rd Sem., Department of Psychology, RGU and Contested Presidential Candidate RGUSU, 2024) time and again alleging involvement of three persons in RGUSU

02/10/2024

Hopam

Page 1 of 4

en Both

Ma Plops

Election (namely 1. Mr. Nangbia Palo, 2. Mr. Hidung Pol, and 3. Mr. Habu Taluk) allegedly seen outside of the RGU Convention Hall on 24 September 2024 during voting day (Annexure -VI).

Grievances Redressal Committee sought written and verbal response (Annexure VII) dated 25.09.2024 (Letter No. RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/Elect/2024) from the three alleged accused namely, 1. Mr. Nangbia Palo, 2. Mr. Hidung Pol and 3. Mr. Habu Taluk, and their alleged link with Mr. Charu Markum, President (Elect), RGUSU.

The main Respondent 1 Mr. Charu Markum and other three respondents were summoned at RGU and their verbal and written response were received on 29.09.2024 (Time: 4.20 – 4.50 PM) (Annexure – VII). In his response, Mr. Markum clarified that these three fellows (Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk) have no linkage with him and they are not his voters and associates.

Respondent 2 Mr. Nangbia Palo, S/o Nangbia Teri, Village Dangdung, Palin (Kraa Daadi district, Arunachal Pradesh is a Finance Secretary RGUSU 2023-2024. He termed the allegation baseless and clarified that he has no link with Presidential candidate Charu Markum. He visited RGU campus as incumbent executive body member, that is, as Finance Secretary of RGUSU 2023-2024 session and he was there at RGU as incumbent Executive Body member of RGUSU just to witness and ensure that the electioneering event are done in free and fair manner but not as voter (Annexure VIII).

Respondent 3 Mr. Hidung Pol is an incumbent Convenor of RGUSU 2023-2024 and he termed the allegation baseless and categorically denied his linkage with Mr. Charu Markum. He stated that he was just witnessing the electioneering process on 22 and 24 September 2024 at RGU campus as incumbent executive body member of RGUSU to ensure free and fair election but not as voter (Annexure IX).

Respondent 4 **Mr. Habu Taluk**, S/o Habu Tabang, Village Chambang, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh and currently at ESS Sector Itanagar. He stated that he is also Asstt. Art and Culture Secretary of All Kra Daadi District Students Union. He replied that he visited RGU campus on 22nd September 2024 for enquiry related to admission of his sister in RGU department. He also clarified that he visited RGU campus on 24 September 2024 on ANSU election related matter and have seen the voting day at RGU near Convention Hall RGU as general spectator but have no linkage with Mr. Charu Markum and RGUSU Election matter (**Annexure X**).

Report of the Investigating Officer

Mr. Badal Techi, Senior Security Officer (SSO) of RGU was deployed as senior Investigation Officer (OI) (Annexure XI) to conduct investigation into the case with defined terms and conditions (TOR) to examine possible involvement of outsiders in RGUSU election, serious violations of Lyngdoh Committee Report 2006 during election campaign if any and acute lawlessness if prevailed during electioneering process at RGU Campus.

Senior Security Officer (SSO), RGU has conducted independent investigation and submitted his report on 1ST October 2024 to the Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee (Annexure XII). In his report, IO RGU mentioned that there is no evidence to suggest the direct or indirect linkage and involvement of the three respondents, termed as outsiders in the complaint (1. Mr. Nangbia Palo, 2. Mr. Hidung Pol and 3. Mr. Habu Taluk) in RGUSU Election 2024. He also mentioned that there is no evidence of unlawful activities carried out by these three persons at the campus during entire electioneering period. Written REPLIES OF THE students RESPONDENTS submitted to IO ARE ENCLOSED AS ANNEXURE XIIIA,B,C. IO RGU further stated that, he has not seen any unlawful activities, communal overtone and disruptive activities by any members or contesting candidates of RGUSU at RGU campus during entire election period. Electioneering process were peaceful and the winning margin of the winner (Charu Markum) was 798 voters.

u₁

Donom

Page 2 of 4

1989

Mm tonk

RULE 6.3.1 OF THE LYNGDOH COMMITTEE REPORT 2006 AND careful INTERPRETATION

During the period of the elections no person, who is not a student on the rolls of the college/university, shall be permitted to take part in the election process in any capacity. Any person, candidate, or member of the student organisation, violating this rule shall be subject to disciplinary proceedings, in addition to the candidature, as the case may be, being revoked.

The rule in question is designed to ensure that the election process in the universities remains transparent and fair, specifically discouraging the involvement of individuals who are not part of the student community in any way that might influence or disrupt the process leading to lawlessness in the campus.

The interpretation of the phrase 'take part in the election process' means active participation in the election process which may be perceived as interference and influence in the election process.

Issue for Consideration and Judgment with regard to the complaints received

After careful examination of the claims of the complainant and counter replies submitted by the respondents to Chairman GRC, the primary issue here to be determined by this Grievances Redressal Committee (GRC) is whether the mere presence of three persons called as "outsiders" outside the office premises of the Returning Officer at RGU and outside of the Convention Hall of RGU Campus amounts to a violation of Rule 6.3.1 of Lyndoh Committee Recommendation 2006, and is this a sufficient ground to revoke the candidature of the respondent 1 (Mr. Charu Markum).

Additionally, whether such an allegation, without concrete and further proof of undue influence or direct involvement in the election process, warrants such a drastic step as revocation or disqualification of candidature.

Findings of the Grievances Redressal Committee for RGUSU Election 2024

- 1. No DIRECT and CLEAR Evidence of Participation and Interference: The complainant has alleged the presence of outsiders near the Office of the Returning Officer RGUSU Election 2024 and outside of the Convention Hall RGU. However, after careful examination of the written complaint and video clip submitted by complainant, there is no evidence provided to suggest that these three individuals interfered with the electoral process or were involved in any act that could influence the conduct of the elections. Rule 6.3.1 of Lyngdoh Committee Report necessitates that there be some tangible proof of involvement in the election process or misconduct that would jeopardize the fairness of the election. Mere presence of someone in the office premises, without any proof of overt act, does not satisfy this requirement.
- 2. Lack of Clear Identification: The identity of these so-called "outsiders" has not been conclusively established. Our Investigation has revealed that the first Two respondents (namely 1. Mr. Nangbia Palo, and 2. Mr. Hidung Pol) have been identified as Executive Body Members of RGUSU 2023-2024, hence they still hold the right to visit RGU campus till new executive body is elected, but both have also denied any linkage with respondent 1. The complainant has neither produced evidence to show that these individuals were directly connected to the respondent 1 (Presidential candidate) nor that they had a vested interest and influence in the election outcome. One Mr. Habu Taluk, identified as outsider has been verified through our Investigation as PG Diploma Student of RGU Mass Com Department (2023-2024) but he did not appear last semester exam. He stated that he visited RGU to enquire admission of his relative but denied involvement and linkage with respondent 1 (Presidential candidate). Without

and Solvery.

Jonam

Page 3 of 4

30rd

Mm Am

concrete identification or linkage to the respondent, it would be inappropriate to take punitive action such as disqualification of candidature based solely on speculation.

- Principles of Natural Justice: It is a fundamental principle of natural justice that any allegation must be substantiated with evidence before punitive measures are taken. In the present case, the complainant has not furnished sufficient evidence to establish that the mere presence of three individuals, standing outside the office of the Returning Officer and outside of the Convention Hall of RGU, amounted to a violation of Rule 6.3.1. of Lyngdoh Committee Recommendations. In the absence of any proof of misconduct, penalizing the respondent would be unjust.
- The complainant wants action under section 153A IPC (now section 196 of BNS) against the respondent 1 but this has no relevance as there is no prima facie evidence reported against three individuals of committing any act that promotes enmity and hatred between different groups, and further there is no any such substantiating reports available against the three individuals from the Senior Security Officer of RGU and nearest Police Department, Doimukh.

Conclusion

In light of the above findings, the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 holds that the complainant has not made out a sufficient case for the revocation of the respondent's candidature under Rule 6.3.1 of the Lyngdoh Committee Recommendations. The mere presence of any individuals outside the office premises of the Returning Officer and outside the Convention Hall of RGU does not, in itself, amount to a violation of the rule, nor is there any evidence of misconduct, unlawful activities or undue influence.

Decision

The complaint of complainant seeking the revocation of the respondent's candidature is hereby stands dismissed.

In the event of dissatisfaction over the decision of the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024, the complainant has the liberty to approach any appropriate court of law for the furtherance and redressal of his grievances whatsoever.

Signature of Members Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Prof. Hui Tag

Chairman

Member

Representative of Subdivisional Magistrate,

Doimukh

Deputy Registrar Estt. (N/T)

RGU

Student Representative (Boy)

RGU

Student Representative (Girl)

RGU

Dr. Tabang Mibang

Convener, Grievances Redressal Committee

RGUSUS ELECTION 2024 RGU

Page 4 of 4

NNEXURE

The Returning Officer Rajiv Gandhi University Students' Union (RGUSU) Rono Hills, Doimukh Papum Pare Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub: Disciplinary action against the Candidature of Mr. Markum Charu for the RETURNING OFFICER post of President Rajiv Gandhi University Students Union (RGUSU) for RGUSU ELECTION Rally Gandhi University Session 2024-25.

Respected Sir,

With reference to above cited subject, I would like to acknowledge the election committee of Rajiv Gandhi University Students Union (RGUSU) for session 2024-2025 that it has been witnessed that there are many individuals who are not the student of this Institute (i.e. Rajiv Gandhi University) but taking part in on-going election process which is in violation to the recommendations framed as per the Lyngdoh Committee Report accepted by The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India which order and must to be followed in all College/University Election in India.

In the pursuance of the above given matter following individuals have been found indulged in this unfair means of election process who were campaigning for Mr. Markum Charu who is contesting candidate for the post of President Rajiv Gandhi University Students Union (RGUSU) for Session 2024-2025. The names of the above mentioned individuals are given below:

Mr. Nangbia Palo

Mr. Nangbia Palo is currently pursuing LL.B (1st Semester) bearing Roll No. 24LLB39059, 2024-25 batch, in Jarbom Gamlin Govt. Law College, Jote.

2. Mr. Hidung Pol

Mr. Hidung Pol is currently pursuing LL.B (1st Semester) in Arunachal Law Academy, Lekhi, Naharlagun.

3. Mr. Habu Taluk

Mr. Habu Taluk is not a regular student of Rajiv Gandhi University.

The above stated claims has been presented in form of photos and videos which was captured during the election process that are annexed as evidences in Annexures.

Annexure 1: In the video-1, Mr. Nangbia Palo and Mr. Hidung Pol, are clearly seen in the Office of Returning Officer/Election Committee in Department of Commerce on 20th September, 2024, where both are present and participating during the process of filing objection letter in regard to the 2nd notification (Notice no. ADPE/SU/Election-2006, dated 19th September, 2024)* for the eligibility and disqualification list Consand Chencement the hours of candidatures.

ntioned above in the list) is clearly standing and waiting outside The Office of Returning ifficer/Election Committee in Department of Commerce on 20th September, 2024, at night around 07:30 M, IST, where all the aspiring candidates and supporters were also present waiting for the final eligibility and disqualification list of the candidates.

Annexure 3: In this picture, which was captured on 20th September, 2024, Mr. Nangbia Palo and Mr. Habu Taluk can be spotted outside the Office of Returning Officer/Election Committee in Department of Commerce with Mr. Markum Charu as his team members.

Annexure 4. This annexure is comprised of others evidences such as Photos and videos PI footages captured.

In the light of above statements and evidences present, thus it is clearly proved that Mr. Markum Charu an aspiring Candidate for RGUSU President for session 2024-5, is using External forces and Individuals who are not the regular Student of Rajiv Gandhi University as mentioned and violated the Lyngdoh Committee Report of the Suprem Court of India. As it stated under Provisions as Recommendations;

No.6.3.1-During the period of the elections no person, who is not a student on

the rolls of the college/university, shall be permitted to take part in the

election process in any capacity. Any person, candidate, or member of

the student organisation, violating this rule shall be subject to

disciplinary proceedings, in addition to the candidature, as the case

may be, being revoked.

Whereas, it is clearly mentioned that no any person is allowed to take participaet or indulged in college or University students election process who is not the bona-fide Student on the rolls of that College/University. Further, it's also mentioned in the provision that, if any candidates or Students organisation doing so, shall be strictly subjected to disciplinary proceedings or his candidature will be revoked.

Wereas, the provision simplifies that, in this ensuing Rajiv Gandhi University Students' Union Election (RGUSU) no any individuals from outsider is allowed to participate in election, futher, no any Aspirant candidate is permitted to use outsiders during the election in RGUSU or any other College/University under University Grants Commission (UGC) College or University.

Whereas, above mentioned names Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Habu Taluk are found to be actively participating and indulging in this ensuing of RGUSU, They even found to entered into not only

204

Campus and Outside the office but also seen to be entered into Office of The Returning Officer and ction Committee Office and not adhering the the rules, regulations and guidelines of Lyngdoh mmittee Report also not adhering the order of the Suprem Court Order.

herefore, I as genuine aspirant Candidate and rule abiding Student of prestigious University (I.e Rajiv Candhi Universty) by adhering the guidelines and recommendation of Lyngdoh Commission Report, strongly condemned such violation.

Thus, I as a genuine aspirant Candidate for the post of President of RGUSU strongly appeal to the Returning Officer and Election Team to initiate disciplinary action against Mr. Markum Charu aspirant Candidate for the Post of President.

Further, also appeal to initiate disciplinary action against such individuals for breaching and violation of rules and guidelines of Lyngdoh Committee Reports under Recomendation No.6.7.16 Provision and under Indian Penal Code 1860 Section, 153A and Chapter IXA(Offence relating to election).

This violation undermines the principles of fairness and transparency that the Lyngdoh Committee Recommendations were established to uphold. It is essential that student elections are conducted in a manner that is compliant with these guidelines to ensure a level playing field for all candidates and to maintain the integrity of the democratic process within our institution. In light of this, I respectfully request a thorough investigation into this matter and immediate corrective action to address the violation. I believe that adherence to the Lyngdoh Committee Recommendations is crucial for fostering a healthy democratic environment in our institution. I would appreciate your prompt attention to this issue and look forward to your response.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Your sincerely

Mr. Nabam Doni

Presidential Candidate

RGUSU-2024-25

Department Of Psychology, RGU

3rd Semester



GIROUP PHOTO OF TEAM MARKUM CHARU



Habu Taluk Lusu

2 Sept · 3


On a mission to rgusu president... Mr markum charu and his team





Monghia Palo of ao Obbice







by adhering the and to



Dean Students' Welfare/छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष

राजीव गांधी विश्वविद्यालय/Rajiv Gandhi University

रोनोहिल्स, दोईमुख/Rono Hills, Doimukh अरुणाचल प्रदेश-७९१११२/ Arunachal Pradesh - 791 112

ANNEQUEE -IT

No.:RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024/01

Date: 22.09.2024

To

Mr. Charu Markum, President Candidate, RGUSU 2024-2025 Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India & Three Others

Sub: Hearing at the Office of the DSW and Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024 regarding..

Dear Mr. Charu Markum

This is in reference to the first complaint received from Mr. Nabam Doni, Presidential candidate (Contest) submitted his complaint to this Office on dated 22 September 2024 alleging involvement of three persons, namely Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk (allegedly called outsiders and not a regular student of RGU) in the ongoing election process of RGUSU Election 2024.

First hearing of Grievances Redressal Committee to this effect will be held on 23 September 2024 at Office of the DSW RGU at 11.00 am.

Therefore, you are hereby informed to reach the Office of the DSW and Chairman Grievances Committee, RGU on 23th September 2024 (time 11.00 AM) without fail to cooperate with our scheduled hearing regarding alleged involvement of the above mentioned three persons during RGUSU election 2024.

Terms of References (TOR):

- 1. You shall come for hearing only with aforementioned three persons stated to be outsiders in this complaint letter. No other persons in extra will be allowed during hearing before members of the Grievances Redressal Committee.
- You and three other persons named in the complaint letter shall sincerely cooperate with basic queries of the Grievances Redressal Committee and give your reply/justification in both written and verbal regarding involvement of these allegedly called outsider during RGUSU election 2024 at RGU.
- You shall not share this summon letter to any social media platform or public domain, and shall maintain decorum during grievances hearing until the committee complete their investigation process.

Dean of Students' Welfare छात्रक ल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Mr. Nangbia Palo, Jarbom Ganilin Law College, Jote for information and to appear during hearing. Mr Hidung Pol, Aramachal Law Academy, Lekhi for information and compliance for hearing.

Mr. Habu Taluk, for information and compliance

Shri Nabam Doni, Complainant and President Candidate RGUSU for information please.

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

WHEXURE-IN Date: 23/09/2024 The Grievance Redressal committee Rajiv Gandhi University Students Union Arunachal Pradesh

Subject: Application Regarding Defamation of My Candidature Without Any Solid Proof

Respected Sir/Madam,

I, Markum Charu, a student of Department of Anthropology at Rajiv Gandhi University, am contesting for the position of President in the ongoing student elections. I am writing to bring to your attention a case of defamation that has been made against me without any factual or substantial proof, causing harm to my reputation and standing in the election.

The details of the incident are as follows:

- 1. Defamatory Allegations: On 22/09/2024, Nabam Doni another presidential candidate for president made false and unsubstantiated statements against me, accusing me of [Violating the clause of lyngdoh committee 6.3.1]. These allegations were made in students grievances cell and somehow have spread across the campus, creating a false narrative about my character and capabilities.
- 2. Impact on My Candidature: The false accusations have tarnished my image, adversely affecting my credibility and goodwill among the student body. This has created an environment of distrust towards my candidature, which is unfair given that no evidence supports these claims.
- 3. Lack of Solid Proof: The accusations are entirely baseless and have been made with malicious intent. I respectfully submit that there is no substantial evidence to justify these defamatory statements. I have attached RGUSU's letter pad to support my claim that the accusations are unfounded and the names mentioned in their grievances are part of RGUSU and they have stated that they were there to just see the election's procedure.

Given the seriousness of the matter, I kindly request the university authorities to take immediate action against the person(s) responsible for these defamatory acts. I also request that necessary steps be taken to ensure that my candidature is not further harmed by these baseless allegations.

I trust that the university, under your able leadership, will uphold the principles of fairness and justice, ensuring that such malicious activities do not affect the integrity of the election process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your prompt action and response.

1 Copy to RO, RGUSO elithon.

Markum Charu

MA Anthropology

Rajiv Gandhi University 8787450372.

P. 8 ° OA & for Habu Saloke, I have not concern 87874.

of be he being here in the campus.

@ mr. Nanglea Palo & mr. History Pole are post of Racso.

Co. Convenor - Moinem Ronrang Speaker - Osinam Eko

OFFICE OF THE RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' UNION

Rono Hills, Doimukh District Papum Pare - 791112 (A.P)

ESTD: 1990

SESSION: 2023-2024



GRU BAI president 0124 / 9863426434 esident resident Admin Inshap Protocol gwang lowang Medam SAdmin ung Pole nvenor anglo Liang o-Convenor Vangbia Palo inance Secretary) Mom Dodum **Asst Finance Secretary** Tadak Nilling **Education Secy**

Asst Education Secretary Techi Paul Sames and Sport Secy. Aling Pame Asst Games and Sport secy.

Tagiu Tapak Art And Culture Secretary Choanhun Hosai Asst. Art and Culture

Secretary Syamar Takia Social Service Secretary Dagge Basar

Asst. Social Secretary _icha Ribia Health and Hygiene Secy.

Tara Gapak Asst. Health and Hygiene

Secretary Toko Rebia PR Secretary

Chowip Tayang Asst. IPR Secretary Giah Taja Office secy.

Tem Sonam Tarh Asst. Office Secretary 3lki Tahar

Editor Bitupan Gogoi Co. Editor Techi Robi Auditor Dawa Tsering Co.Auditor

Aflapso Romat Debate, Symposium and Seminar Secy. Asst. Debate, Symposium and Seminar Secy. Bollo Tame

Spokesperson Deputy Spokesperson Nomen Wings President - Tadar Yakar V.P.- Kipa Mina General, Secy-Namal Tok Seneral, Secy. Namar Tok 4,G.S. - Nich Nuku Convenor - Ngilyang Meena Co. Convenor - Moinem Ronreng Speaker - Osinam Eko Convenor - Nom Oul Advenory Board

Advisory Board -Glogi Aja Techi Sissal Prom Taba -Illiang Sam Indgom Sanjay Ref. No. Date.....

- 6.8.3 In carrying out the duties of the office, the Grievance cell shall conduct proceedings and hearings necessary to fulfill those duties. In executing those duties they shall have the authority:
 - (i) to issue a writ of subpoena to compel candidates, agents, and workers, and to request students to appear and give testimony, as well as produce necessary records; and

(ii) to inspect the financial reports of any candidate and make these records available for public scrutiny upon request.

- 6.8.4 Members of the Grievance cell are prohibited from filing complaints. Any other student may file a complaint with the Grievance cell, within a period of 3 weeks from the date of declaration of results. All complaints must be filed under the name of the student filing the complaint. The Grievance cell shall act on all complaints within 24 hours after they are received by either dismissing them or calling a hearing.
- 6.8.5 The Grievance cell may dismiss a complaint if:
 - (iii) the complaint was not filed within the time frame prescribed in Recommendation 8.4 above;
 - (iv) the complaint fails to state a cause of action for which relief may be granted;
 - (v) the complainant has not and / or likely will not suffer injury or damage.
- 6.8.6 If a complaint is not dismissed, then a hearing must be held. The Grievance cell shall inform, in writing, or via e-mail, the complaining party and all individuals or groups named in the complaint of the time and place of the hearing. The parties are not considered notified until they have received a copy of the complaint.
- 6.8.7 The hearing shall be held at the earliest possible time, but not within twenty-four (24) hours after receipt of the notice described above, unless all parties agree to waive the 24-hour time constraint.
- 6.8.8 At the time notice of a hearing is issued, the Grievance cell, by majority vote, may issue a temporary restraining order, if it determines that such action is necessary to prevent undue or adverse effects on any individual or entity. Any restraining order, once issued, will remain in effect until a decision of the Grievance cell is announced after the hearing or until rescinded by the Grievance cell.
- 6.8.9 All Grievance cell hearings, proceedings, and meetings must be open to the public.
- 6.8.10 All Parties of the Grievance cell hearing shall present themselves at the hearing, may be accompanied by any other student from which they can receive counsel, and have the option to be represented by that counsel.



- 6.8.11 For any hearing, a majority of sitting Grievance cell members must be in attendance with the Chair of the Grievance cell presiding. In the absence of the Chair, the responsibility to preside shall fall to an Grievance cell member designated by the Chair.
- 6.8.12 The Grievance cell shall determine the format for the hearing, but must require that both the complaining and responding parties appear physically before the board to discuss the issues through a complaint, answered, rebuttal, and rejoinder format. The purpose of the hearing is to gather the information necessary to make a decision, order, or ruling that will resolve an election dispute. To effectuate this purpose, the following rules should prevail at all hearings:
 - Complaining parties shall be allowed no more than two witnesses, however the Grievance cell may call witnesses as required. If said witnesses are unable to appear at the hearing, signed affidavits may be submitted the the Grievance cell Chair for the purpose of testifying by proxy.

All questions and discussions by the parties in dispute shall be directed to the Grievance cell.

- There shall be no direct or cross-examination of any party or witness by complaining or responding parties during hearings.
- Reasonable time limits may be set by the Grievance cell, provided they give fair and equal treatment to both sides.
- The complaining party shall bear the burden of proof.
- Decisions, orders, and rulings of the Grievance cell must be concurred to by a majority of the Grievance cell present and shall be announced as soon as possible after the hearing. The Grievance cell shall issue a written opinion of the ruling within 12 hours of announcement of the decision. The written opinion must set forth the findings of fact by the Grievance cell and the conclusions of law in support of it. Written opinions shall set a precedent for a time period of three election cycles for Grievance cell rulings, and shall guide the Grievance cell in its proceedings. Upon consideration of prior written opinions, the grievance cell may negate the decision, but must provide written documentation of reasons for doing so.
- If the decision of the Grievance cell is appealed to the institutional head, the Grievance cell must immediately submit its ruling to the commission.
- The Grievance cell shall select the remedy or sanction most appropriate to both the type and severity of the infraction, as well as the state of mind or intent of the violator as determined by the

Grievance cell. Possible remedies and sanctions include, but are not limited to, fines, suspension of campaigning privileges, and disqualification from the election.

- Any fine or total amount of fines against a candidate in an election cycle may not exceed the spending limit as defined herein above.
- If, after a hearing, the Grievance cell finds that provisions of this Code were violated by a candidate, or a candidate's agents or workers, the Grievance cell may restrict the candidate, or the candidates agents or workers, from engaging in some or all campaign activities for some or all of the remainder of the campaign. If an order is issued covering only part of the remaining campaign period, it shall take effect immediately so that after its termination, the candidate will have an opportunity to resume campaigning during the days immediately prior to and including the election days.
- If, after a hearing, the Grievance cell finds that provisions of either this Code or decisions, opinions, orders, or rulings of the Grievance cell have been willfully and blatantly violated by a candidate, or a candidate's agents or workers, the Grievance cell may disqualify the candidate.
- Any party adversely affected by a decision of the Grievance cell may file an appeal with the institutional head within twenty-four (24) hours after the adverse decision is announced. The institutional head shall have discretionary appellate jurisdiction over the Grievance cell in all cases in which error on the part of the Grievance cell is charged.
- The decision of the Grievance cell shall stand and shall have full effect until the appeal is heard and decided by the institutional head.
- The institutional head shall hear appeals of Grievance cell rulings as soon as possible, but not within twenty-four (24) hours after the Grievance cell delivers to the Appellant and the institutional head a copy of its written opinion in the case. Appeal may be heard prior to this time, but only if the Appellant waives the right to a written opinion and the institutional head agrees to accept the waiver.
- The institutional head can issue suitable orders to suspend or halt the operation of the ruling issued by the Grievance cell until the appeals are decided.



The institutional head shall review findings of the Grievance cell when appealed. The institutional head may affirm or overturn the decision of the Grievance cell, or modify the sanctions imposed.

6.9 Maintaining Law and Order on the Campus during the Election Process

In addition to the code of conduct prescribed above, it is important that the college/university authorities resort to the assistance of the police in the event of any unlawful activity occurring not only during the elections, but also otherwise. The Committee on the Working of Central Universities gave a similar recommendation. However, it is observed that colleges / universities more often than not refrain from taking police assistance to deal with campus violence and lawlessness on the ground that the reputation of the university / college would be adversely affected. The Committee does not agree with this line of thought. In the words of the Committee on the Working of Central Universities:

"2.44 There is a strange hangover of the colonial period when politics of freedom was combated with the help of the police force that university "autonomy" is supposed to be violated if the police is called in. We believe that the police is a part of civil law and order machinery, and it should be as much available to protect lives, property and functioning of the university as is available to any other establishment in the country. If the university is working normally or within defined bounds of tension naturally there is no need for the police; but if it becomes disturbed by exceeding certain limits, as suggested above, the blame, if any, for causing the police to come in for protection would squarely lie with those who created the particular conditions. The autonomy of the university has no relation to this circumstance, just as the fundamental rights or privacy of a citizen are not related to his having to take police assistance in an emergency."

6.9.1 Any instance of acute lawlessness or the commission of a criminal offence shall be reported to the police by the university / college authorities as soon as possible, but not later than 12 hours after the alleged commission of the offence.

⁴ Ibid.



The primary need, therefore, is to evolve some mechanism that does away with, or at least minimizes the influence of political parties in student elections. A starting point would be the concept of a "union", and the recognition of students' representatives as a "union". In general parlance, the term "union" brings to mind issues relating to the suppression of tradesmen and workmen, something that cannot be applied to students in an institution. It is true that all students should be entitled to certain basic standards of teaching and on-campus infrastructure, but this in itself cannot be equated to the rights of a workman.

The Committee, in its deliberations, debated over the fact that placing restrictions on affiliation to political parties may be viewed as contrary to the fundamental right of association, as provided for in the Constitution of India. However, it is also true that the right to association, as under Article 19 of the Constitution, is amenable to reasonable restrictions, as are all the other rights under Article 19. It is true that the aim of prescribing a system of elections is not only to provide for representation of student issues, but also to provide a base for young students to learn the basic fundamentals of representing others, as well as the principles of good governance. However, it is not appropriate to permit the level of interference being exercised by political parties at present, as the primary function of a university is, after all, education, and not political indoctrination, especially when such political influence brings with it all the indiscretions that political parties are known for. Therefore, in this respect, the Committee recommends:

6.3.1 During the period of the elections no person, who is not a student on the rolls of the college/university, shall be permitted to take part in the election process in any capacity. Any person, candidate, or member of the student organisation, violating this rule shall be subject to disciplinary proceedings, in addition to the candidature, as the case may be, being revoked.

6.4 Frequency and Duration of the Election Process

There was general unanimity that the election process should be held over as short a period as possible, so as to reduce the time students spend away from class in election related activities. It was noted by the Committee that in many instances elections would be held



Dean Students' Welfare/छ। राजीव गांधी विश्वविद्यालय/Ra रोनोहिल्स, दोईमुख/Ronc अरुणाचल प्रदेश-७९१११२/ Aruna

Sity ANNEXVILE 201

MAETING OF THE GRIEVANCES COMMITTEE OF KGUSU ELECTION -2024 HELD ON 23.9.2024 AT THE OFFICE OF DEAN STUDENTS WELFARE (DSW)

MINUTES

A written complaint was received by the Returning Officer, RGUSU Election-2024 submitted/lodged by one Mr. Nabam Doni, Contesting Candidate for the Presidential Post of RGUSU-2024 dated 22nd September 2024 at 3.50 PM at office of the R.O. The same was forwarded to Chairman, Grievances Committee on 22nd September 2024 at 7:20 PM. In the complain, Mr. Nabam Doni alleged Mr. Charu Markum (Contesting Candidate for Presidential Post) of breaching code of conduct as enshrined in the LYNGDOH Committee report with involvement of outsiders in ongoing RGUSU election-2024 process. They also claims that the 3 (three) students (outsiders) as identified, are not the bonafide students of RGU. They also submitted photographic and video evidence of the involvement of the outsiders (Annexure-I). The members of the grievances committee held its meeting on 23.9.2024 at 11 am at DSW Office, RGU. The members of the Grievances committee closely examined the complaint lodged by Mr. Nabam Doni, Contesting Candidate for the Presidential Post, RGUSU Election-2024.

The committee also invited both the parties separately and recorded their Grievances and explanations. The Committee after hearing from both the parties has come to the following conclusion and recommends for necessary action by the Appropriate Authority.

- The evidences submitted by Mr. Nabam Doni regarding physical presence of outsiders namely

 Mr. Nangbia Palo, LLB 1st Semester, Jarbom Gamlin Law College, Jote. (2) Mr. Hidung
 Pol, LLB 1st Semester, Arunachal Law Academy, Lekhi Naharlagun and (3) Mr. Habu Taluk,
 not the bonafide students of RGU.
- 2. However, the committee has found no clear evidences of disruptions or direct involvement in the normal process of election in the RO office and its election machinery by the team of Mr. Charu Markum.
- 3. The committee observes that since the <u>matter is under prejudice</u>, the matter may be subjected to further investigation to unearth the gravity of the complaints as received.
- In response to the aforesaid complaint, a written reply from the respondent (Mr. Charu Markum) is hereby enclosed as Annexure-II.

In view of the above, the committee recommends to refer the matter to the Competent Authority of the University for necessary perusal and action as deemed suitable as per rule. The meeting concluded positively with vote of thanks by the convenor.

(Prof. Hu. Tag)

Chairman

Member

(SDO/Representative, Doimukh)

Member

Deputy Registrar (Estt)

Smarm

Member

(Mr. Prakash Brey)

Member/Student Representative

(Ms. Teli Mepu)

Member/Student Representative

(Dr. T. Mibang)

Member

आपका आभारी Yours sincerely, Dean Students' Welfare छात्र कल्याण संकायाध्यक्ष DSW Rajiv Gandhi University Rono Hills - Doimukh Arunachal Pradash

ANMENUNTER

The Registrar Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh

Dated Rono Hills, the 23rd Sept '2024

विषय/Sub: Submission of recommendation of the Grievances Committee of RGUSU

Election-2024

महोदय/Sir,

Please find herewith the minutes of the meeting of the Grievances committee of RGUSU Election -2024 held on 23.9.2024 at DSW Office for necessary perusal and action please.

Encl: As stated

With regards,

प्रतिलिपि/Copy to: 1. कार्यालय प्रति/Office copy

ADPE

地流

32.00 X

DINNEWRE ->

राजीव गाँधी विश्वविद्यालय (केन्द्रीय विश्वविद्यालय) रोनो हिल्स, दोईमुख - ७९१११२ अरुणाचल प्रदेश, भारत



Rajiv Gandhi University (A Central University) Rono Hills, Doimukh - 791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India

File No.ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006.

Dated the 24th September'2024

To

Phe Chairman, Grievances Redressal Committee Raijy Gandhi University Rono Hills, Doimukh

Sub: Grievances Redressal Committee recommendation in connection with complaints.

Ref: Your letter No.Nil, dated 23rd September' 2024.

Sir

With reference to your above cited letter, it is to note that in accordance with the provisions and guidelines of the Lyngdoh Committee report, all complaints related to the election process must be resolved and judgments be passed by the Grievances Redressal Committee itself, rather than being forwarded to the office. Further, the Chairman, Grievances Redressal Committee may co-opt member from Law Department and Senior Security Officer for adjudications/resolutions of any decision related case.

As the Grievances Redressal Committee is empowered to pass judgment on such issues, you are requested to redress the matter at your level and forward a copy of the judgments to the undersigned for record purposes.

This is for your information and necessary action, please.

Enclo: As stated above.

Registrar

Memo File No.ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006/ 32 Copy to:

Dated the 24.th September' 2024

Yours sincerely,

- PS to Vice-Chancellor for information.
- 2. The PS to Registrar for information.
- 3. Prof. Tasi Kaye, Returning Officer, RGUSU Election for information.
- 4. Office copy.

Registrar

NNEXURE -Rajiv Gandhi Students' Union. (RGUSU) Papum Pare District Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub: - Complain against Mr Markum Charu, a presidential candidate of Rajiv Gandhi University Students' Union for Session 2024-25 with addition to previews complaint on dated on 22nd Sept. 2024, for breached of recommendation of Lyngdoh Committee Report 2006.

Respected Sir,

The Chairman

Session 2024-25 Rono Hills Doimukh.

Grievance Redressal Cell.

To,

With referenced to above cited subjects, it is to bring your kind notice and acknowledgement that, the complaint against Mr Markum Charu, (an aspiring candidate for the post of President RGUSU 2024-25) was filed on 22nd Sept. 2024 at the office of Returning Officer of RGUSU election 2024 during the process of election, which was forward to your office for disciplinary actions for violations of Lyngdoh Committee Report, complaint No. 1-Elections/22/RGUSU-24 which was clearly mentioned about the violations of the Lyngdoh Committee Report rules and guidelines by the supporters/workers of Mr Markum Charu during the process of RGUSU election for Session 2024-25.

The said complaint was filed based on breached of the provision of Lyngdoh Committee Report of the Supreme Court of India 2006 recommendation No:

6.3.1-which stated that: During the period of the election no person who is not a student on the rolls of the College/University shall be permitted to take part in the election process in any capacity, any person, candidate, or member of the student organization, violating this rule shall be subject to disciplinary proceedings, in addition to the candidature, as the case may be, being revoked.

Thus, the participant of non-bonafide student in students' union elections has been strictly prohibited, failed to comply with these provisions will face disqualification of candidature.

It was held in case of RAMESH CHANDRA VS UNIVERSITY OF DELHI (2011) the Delhi High Court ruled that.

only bona-fide students of are eligible to contest election.

2. Further also ruled that, only student who are actively enrolled in a course at the institute are eligible to participates in the students' union election.

3. Further, the Court also prohibited any undue influence from individuals who are no longer part of the particular academic.

Further it was also held in case of University of kerela v. Council, Principal' College Kerala & Ors (2006) that,

1. Universities must strictly comply with the guidelines in the Lyngdoh Committee Report to ensure free, fair, and clean student elections.

2. Failure to follow the recommendation would lead to punitive measure, both against the violators and university to maintain the integrity of the democratic election process.



This judgement forms the basis for insisting that no violation of the Lyngdoh Committee Report shall be tolerated.

In pursuance of the mentioned recommendation of Lyngdoh Committee Report 2006, and the judgement of the court, the previews complaint was filed against the three individuals named, Mr. Nangbia Palo, Hidung Pol and Habu Taluk, since they are not the bona-fide students of the Rajiv Gandhi University, however, they have been indulged and participated in the said election of RGUSU by unfair means for their Presidential Candidate Mr. Markum Charu and violated the said provisions as well as undermined the Lyngdoh Committee Report guidelines, rules and also disrespect and disregards the integrity of the basic guiding statutory provisions of Students' Union Elections. Therefore, the complaint for disciplinary actions was submitted with all conclusive evidences, including Photos and videos along with supporting evidences.

Despite the complaint filed by the aggrieved party for the necessary action to be taken as per the provisions enshrined under the recommendation of the Lyngdoh Committee Report and the disciplinary proceeding should have been initiated against Mr. Markum Charu and also his Candidature should have been revoked. However, the Grievance Redressal Cell argued that the necessary action would be taken in order to prevent such further violation immediately and refrained to take the necessary action as per the Lyngdoh Committee Recommendation 2006 whose compliance was mandated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

REPEATED VIOLATION OF RECOMMENDATION OF LYNGDOH COMMITTEE REPORT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA 2006.

On 24th September 2024, on the day of the polling earlier mentioned individuals i,e Mr Nangbia Palo, Mr Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk whom the complaint was lodged on 22nd September 2024, were again seen in the university campus (RGU). Participating in the election process for Mr Markum Charu. Evidence for the above statement has been annexed as annexure-I and II

Annexure-I this annexure contained the videos clip where Mr Habu Taluk and Nangbia Palo. were admitted that they both are the strong supporter/workers of Mr Markum Charu for president RGUSU 2024-25 inside the RGU premises outside of polling station before the announcement of counting Result. (Video clip will be provided if it is needed).

Annexure-II This annexure contained the photo of Mr Habu Taluk, Nangbia Palo and Hidung Pol standing together outside the nearby polling station during counting hours. (A photo of the persons enclosed herewith)

These all happened after the submission of the complaint on the same matter to the returning officer RGUSU and Grievance Redressal Cell. And after the assurance of the Grievance Redressal Cell that necessary action would be taken to prevent such further violation immediately.

The matter of concern here is that despite the present of Recommendation of Lyngdoh Commission which has been followed in all college/university election across India as mandated by the supreme court of India, that such malpractices are been practiced in a prestigious institution like Rajiv Gandhi University. And the situation deteriorates further when the designated bodies and official assigned to check such menace fails to perform their duty efficiently.



In this current issue, there has been severe violation of the Lyngdoh Committee Recommendation and also the concerned officials assigned to ensure its compliance has failed to do so despite of being reminded through a complaint notice by the aggrieved and Grievances Redressal Cell Committee.

The Rajiv Gandh University Students Union (RGUSU) has served as a vital platform for the students to voice their concerns, advocate students' rights, and has also contributed to the broader social and political landscape of the entire State since ages. Thid particular organisation i.e (RGUSU) has played significant role in promoting students' welfare and has also contributed to the development of Rajiv Gandhi University, since its establishment. The significance of (R.G.U.S.U) is immense and it can't be compromised with its virtues and ethos.

The present scenario in the RGUSU election is a huge question mark to both integrity of the candidate who has violated the basic norms of the university student's election and the officials who have failed to ensure free and fair election despite of pre-intimation of such violation.

This occurrence has sent the aggrieved and all the concerned individuals into a pit of misery. Having the knowledge that one who is supposed to serve for the student's welfare has violated the various fundamentals of student's election mandated by the Supreme Court of the India. It would be a grave injustice to the entire RGU fraternity if such menace is not rectified.

Therefore, in the light of Recommendation No. 6.3.1 of the Lyngdoh Committee Report recommendation 2006 which states-

During the period of the election no person who is not a student on the rolls of the College/University shall be permitted to take part in the election process in any capacity, any person, candidate, or member of the student organization, violating this rule shall be subject to disciplinary proceedings, in addition to the candidature, as the case may be, being revoked.

Further, In the light of the Recommendation No. 6.8.12 (ii), (iii), (iv), (vi), (vii), (ix), (x), (xi) (xii) with its underlying various provisions and meaning of Lyngdoh Committee Report 2006 and Recommendation No. 6.9 which states-maintain law and order on the campus during the Election Process.

That, in-case of non-initiative of the complaint in this particular matter, than the aggrieved party may approach the competent court/authority within the jurisdiction of Papum Pare Arunachal Pradesh for remedy.

We pray to the Grievance Redressal Cell, to take disciplinary action against Mr. Markum Charu and also revoke his candidature as mandated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case of such violation.

Sincerely,

Nabam Doni Candidate for President RGUSU 2024-25

Psychology 3rd Sem Rajiv Gandhi University Rono Hills Doimukh.





Dean Students' Welfare/छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष राजीव गांधी विश्वविद्यालय/Rajiv Gandhi University

रोनोहित्स, दोईमुख/Rono Hills, Dolmukh अरुणाचल प्रदेश-७९१११२/ Arunachal Pradesh – 791 112

No.:RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024

Date: 25.09.2024

To

Mr. Charu Markum, President (Elect) RGUSU 2024-2025 Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India & His Associates

Sub: Hearing at the Office of the DSW and Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024 regarding..

Dear Mr Charu Markum

This is in reference to the first complaint received from Mr. Nabam Doni, Presidential candidate (Contest) submitted his representation/complaint to this Office on dated 22 September 2024 alleging involvement of your associates, namely Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk (not a regular student of RGU) in RGUSU Election held on 24.09.2024. First hearing of Grievances Redressal Committee to this effect was held on 23 September 2024 and report was submitted to CA RGU for a perusal and decision. We also received your written reply to the complaint on 23.09,2024 justified non-involvement of your associates in the election.

We received standing order from the competent authority of RGU (vide Letter No.: ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006, Dated 24 Sept. 2024) authorized full power to the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 to conduct independent investigation and inquiries, give the final judgement and submit the judgment copy

We received 2nd Complaint on 25 September 2024 (Time: 3.30 PM) from the same person (Mr. Nabam Doni, MA 3rd Sem., Department of Psychology, RGU and Contested Presidential Candidate RGUSU, 2024) alleging involvement of your same three associates during recent RGUSU 2024 election.

Therefore, you are hereby informed to reach the Office of the DSW and Chairman Grievances Committee, RGU on 27th September 2024 (time 3.30 PM) without fail to cooperate with our scheduled hearing regarding alleged involvement of your above mentioned three associates during RGUSU election held on 24.09.2024.

Terms of References (TOR):

1. You shall come for hearing only with your aforementioned three associates. No other persons in extra will be allowed during hearing before members of the Grievances Redressal Committee.

2. You and your associates shall sincerely cooperate with basic queries of the Grievances Redressal Committee and give your reply/justification in both written and verbal regarding involvement of your associates during just concluded RGUSU election 2024.

You shall not share this summon letter to any social media platform or public domain, and shall maintain decorum during grievances hearing until the committee complete their investigation process.

Beside this hearing, our RGU Investigation Officer (IO) of Senior Security Officer (SSO) Rank will also conduct independent investigation to confirm the veracity of the claim of the complainant.

> (Prof. Hui Tag) Dean of Students' Welfare छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Mr. Nanghia Palo, Jarbom Gamlin Law College, Jote for information and to appear during hearing. Mr Hidung Pol, Arunachal Law Academy, Leklu for information and compliance for hearing. Mr. Habu Taluk associate of Mr Charu Markum for information and compliance.

Shri Nabam Doni, Complainant and Contested President Candidate RGUSU for information please.

(Prof. Hui Tag)

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

ANNEX DRE TY

To,
The Dean Of Student welfaue
Rayin Gandhi Omiversity
Rono hills, Doimuh
Papumpaue, Aronochal Prodesh.

Pub: Classification with refference of to complain on 22/00/29 and 25/09/24.

Rapulal Str.

With due respect and homble, I would like to claufy that I have no connection tomplay a pello, Mr. Hobo Talok and Mr Hidung pol with reflerence to Rause election, as staled in one of a wide of mine which as was made to claufy all the witers and supporters that I shaw no relation or connection to the persons mentioned about.

Tour Shewely.

Holesm Chaw.

8484450372.

Anthropology 3rd Ran

250 philosophe and and post of 24 of 29. 24 of 29. 24 of 29. 24. 30 pm)

The dear of students westere
of Roysir Gandai university
Doineker, paper pare

Page - 29 - 09/2024 ADVEXVRE - VIII

Sub- Clarification with reference to the Complain on 22-09-2024/25/09/2024 regarding RGUSU Cleetion.

Respected six, wife due respect woulde, I ur. Horghian poto would like to clority funt 1 and marken char no relation and not no multiply grand with resords to kque electrica, I would like to state that mans there are the ground as a serving finance secretary of Rque, I was fuere on the ground of a process on re 109/2024 at the electrical process on re 109/2024 when the video got visay and I was fuere on 25/09/2024 witnessing the votice and counting to passe free and fair electrical.

Sincerely Promping you

No. Mongkion pale

finance Secretary Rgoso

AD. ph. 4642919200

Seo Report of the Control of 20 20 pm)

A Separat Sustain (4. 20 pm)

ADDITEXURE - 1X

The Dean of Student's wulfare Ragin Gandhi Omberrofy Lone hills, Doinute Aronachal Pradesh.

Sub; Clanfication with reference to the complain on 22/09/2014 \$ 25/09/2024 regarding Rause election.

Respected Sir,

With all due respect of humble. I Hidong tot would like to clarify that I and Merhom Chance share no relation and has no motival ground with regards to RACEU elietron, I would like to refile that I was there on the ground as a sening Convenor of Paule, dealen I was there witnessing the com election process on 22/09/2024 and when the video get wrent and lover there on 25/09/2014 witnessing the voting and counting to encore free and fair election.

Thanking Tou

Your faith for stockent Politzozy
Conversor Rause. = 9412083676

DUNEOUSE-AN Z The Dean Students Weefall (DSW) Dale - 24/04/24 Rajiv Gardli university Rono Hills Doinnell , A. P. sub: Clarification with reference to complain on 22/09/24 and 24/09/24 regarding Rausu elselin. F/six will almost repel and humble submission of Hake Taluk would like to clarify the allegation made against no on 22 and 24th. Six I would like to tell you that I came on 22nd of an mouth for seeking admission for my sister in the department of Botony. Moreover, I came to Rho for evening ANSU election maller. Therefore I totally condem the allegation made against me on 24th september 2024. I hope you are salis find will my clean ficulting 220 8 ps 2 20 20 2m) Therking you Since roly ASSI. Ast and culture 201091211 Denny Solumitary All Kra Dadi District student's unin plume - 8x56964462 -8798866264



Dean Students' Welfare/छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष राजीव गांधी विश्वविद्यालय/Rajiv Gandhi University

रोनोहिल्स, दोईमुख/Rono Hills, Doimukh अरुणाचल प्रदेश-७९१११२/ Arunachal Pradesh - 791 112

No.:RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024

Date: 25.09.2024



Mr. Charu Markum, President (Elect) RGUSU 2024-2025 Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India & His Associates

Sub: Hearing at the Office of the DSW and Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024 regarding..

Dear Mr Charu Markum

This is in reference to the first complaint received from Mr. Nabam Doni, Presidential candidate (Contest) submitted his representation/complaint to this Office on dated 22 September 2024 alleging involvement of your associates, namely Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk (not a regular student of RGU) in RGUSU Election held on 24.09.2024. First hearing of Grievances Redressal Committee to this effect was held on 23 September 2024 and report was submitted to CA RGU for a perusal and decision. We also received your written reply to the complaint on 23.09.2024 justified non-involvement of your associates in the election.

We received standing order from the competent authority of RGU (vide Letter No.: ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006, Dated 24 Sept. 2024) authorized full power to the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 to conduct independent investigation and inquiries, give the final judgement and submit the judgment copy to the CA for record.

We received 2nd Complaint on 25 September 2024 (Time: 3.30 PM) from the same person (Mr. Nabam Doni, MA 3rd Sem., Department of Psychology, RGU and Contested Presidential Candidate RGUSU, 2024) alleging involvement of your same three associates during recent RGUSU 2024 election.

Therefore, you are hereby informed to reach the Office of the DSW and Chairman Grievances Committee, RGU on 27th September 2024 (time 3.30 PM) without fail to cooperate with our scheduled hearing regarding alleged involvement of your above mentioned three associates during RGUSU election held on 24.09.2024.

Terms of References (TOR):

- 1. You shall come for hearing only with your aforementioned three associates. No other persons in extra will be allowed during hearing before members of the Grievances Redressal Committee.
- You and your associates shall sincerely cooperate with basic queries of the Grievances Redressal Committee and give your reply/justification in both written and verbal regarding involvement of your associates during just concluded RGUSU election 2024.

You shall not share this summon letter to any social media platform or public domain, and shall maintain decorum during grievances hearing until the committee complete their investigation process.

Beside this hearing, our RGU Investigation Officer (IO) of Senior Security Officer (SSO) Rank will also conduct independent investigation to confirm the veracity of the claim of the complainant.

> (Prof. Hui Tag) Dean of Students' Welfare छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Mr. Nangbia Palo, Jarbom Gamlin Law College, Jote for information and to appear during hearing. Mr Hidung Pol, Arunachal Law Academy, Lekhi for information and compliance for hearing. Mr. Habu Taluk, associate of Mr Charu Markum for information and compliance.


Shri Nabam Doni, Complainant and Contested President Candidate RGUSU for information please.

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024



Dean Students' Welfare/छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष राजीव गांधी विश्वविद्यालय/Rajiv Gandhi University

रोनोहिल्स, दोईमुख/Rono Hills, Doimukh अरुणाचल प्रदेश-७९११२/ Arunachal Pradesh – 791 112



No.:RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024

Date: 25.09.2024

To

Mr. Badal Techi Senior Security Officer Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India

Sub: To conduct Investigation into alleged outsiders influence on RGUSU Election 2024 regarding.

Dear Mr. Badal Ji

This is in reference to the first complaint received from Mr. Nabam Doni, Presidential candidate (Contest) submitted his representation/complaint to this Office on dated 22 September 2024 alleging involvement of namely Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk (not a regular student of RGU) in RGUSU Election held on 24.09.2024 in support of Mr. Charu Markum, President Elect (RGUSU, 2024-2025). First hearing of Grievances Redressal Committee to this effect was held on 23 September 2024 and report was submitted to CA RGU for a perusal and decision. We also received written reply from Charu Markum and his associates to the complaint on 23.09.2024 justified their non-involvement in the 2024 election of RGUSU.

We also received standing order from the competent authority of RGU (vide Letter No.: ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006, Dated 24 Sept. 2024) authorized full power to the Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU Election 2024 to conduct independent, impartial investigation and inquiries with assistance and collaboration of Investigation Officer (IO) of RGU of Senior Security Officer Rank, give the final judgement to both the parties and submit the judgment copy to the CA for record.

We received 2nd Complaint on 25 September 2024 (Time: 3,30 PM) from the same person (Mr. Nabam Doni, MA 3rd Sem., Department of Psychology, RGU and Contested Presidential Candidate RGUSU, 2024) alleging involvement of your same three associates of Mr Charu Markum, President (Elect) during voting day of RGUSU election held on 24 September 2024.

Therefore, you are kindly requested to assist this Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU by conducting investigation and submit report to the Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee while adhering to the following Terms of References (TOR):

Terms of References (TOR):

1. Residential domicile and academic affiliation of Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk may be verified.

2. Involvement of Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr Habu Taluk in RGUSU Election 2024 in support of Presidential Candidate Mr. Charu Markum and any cognizable offence if committed may be verified and may be confirmed.

3. Any unlawful and destructive activities if carried out by above mentioned three persons at RGU campus such as causing public unrest and disorder, disruption, vandalization, provocative/communal public speech during election rally, and manhandling of Returning Officer and his team members before, during and after election may be ascertained.

4. Investigation may be conducted in a safe and peaceful manner by maintaining high degree of integrity

5. Key facts emanating from investigation may be submitted to Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee of RGUSU ELECTION 2024 within 2 days from date of issue of this letter for the further necessary action.

(Prof. Hui Tag) Dean of Students' Welfare <u>छात्रकल्याणसंकायाध्यक्ष</u>

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

Copy to:

PA to Registrar for information please.

Office Copy

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee, RGUSU Election 2024

ANNEXURE - X)1



Date: 1st October 2024

Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee RGUSU 2024 Election And Dean of Students' Welfare Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India.

Sub: Submission of Investigation Report regarding influence of outsiders and outcome of RGUSU Election 2024

Dear Chairman GRC

This report is in reference to your Letter No.: RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024, Dated 25.09.2024 requested our Security Office RGU to conduct investigation on alleged involvement of three persons, namely, Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk (alleged as outsiders by complainant) in RGUSU Election held on 24.09.2024 in support of Mr. Charu Markum, President Elect (RGUSU, 2024-2025) based on the complaint filed by Mr. Nabam Doni, Presidential candidate (Contest) on two occasions, dated 22 September 2024 and 25 September 2024.

Our Security Cell of RGU has investigated the matter as per provided TOR and came out with following conclusion:

- Residential domicile and academic affiliation of Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk have been verified as follows:
 - Mr. Nangbia Palo, S/o Nangbia Teri, is a native of Village Dangdung, Palin (Kraa Daadi district, Arunachal Pradesh, He is a Finance Secretary of RGUSU Executive Body Member of 2023-2024 Session. He passed out MA Mass Com from RGU in 2024.
 - Mr. Hidung Pol, S/o Hidung Harku, is a native of Village Pitapool, District Keyi Panyor, PS Yazali, Arunachal Pradesh. He is a Convenor of RGUSU 2023-2024. He passed out MA Mass Com from RGU in 2024.
 - 3. Mr. Habu Taluk, S/o Habu Tabang, is a native of Village Chagbang, Gangte, Kra Daadi. Residence: ESS Sector Itanagar. He was a Mass Com PG Diploma student of RGU enrolled for session 2023-2024 but did not appear in Final semester exam of PG Diploma due to personal problems. He is also reported himself to be an Assistant Art and Culture Secretary of All Kra Daadi Districts Student Union.
- II. However, our cross investigation into Returning Office (RO) RGUSU Election 2024 (Annexure I) revealed No solid evidence pertaining to direct or indirect Involvement and interference of Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk in RGUSU Election 2024 in support of Presidential Candidate Mr. Charu Markum
- III. Above mentioned Three students are found to be already passed out student of RGU and not the voters of Presidential Candidate Mr. Charu Markum.

Lew Kim Bow

35

However, Mr. Nangbia Palo and Mr. Hidung Pol is still a member of RGUSU Executive Body member of 2023 - 2024 session and still holds right to visit campus till new executive body is elected. During investigation, Two have stated that they were present in the RGU campus as senior incumbent executive of RGUSU 2023-2024 to ensure free and fair election (Annexure II and III). Third one Mr. Habu Taluk stated that he visited RGU campus to enquire admission related issue of his sister, and further stated that he also came to RGU for ANSU election related matter. He was casually stand in crowed how RGUSU election happen. He denied his involvement (Annexure

- IV. Returning No illegal, no unlawful and destructive activities, no public unrest and disorder, no disruption or vandalization, no provocative/communal public speech during election rally, no manhandling of Returning Officer team was found against three above mentioned three persons before, during and after election. Three persons (respondent) further clarified our queries that they were out of curiosity casually overseeing how RGUSU election are conducted and denied active participation in electioneering process. Their appearance in group or video clip is nothing to do with and has no relation with election process of a particular candidate Mr. Charu Markum.
- V. RGUSU 2024 election passed off peacefully under the supervision of Returning Officer (RO) and his team, and under security support and guidance of Sub-Divisional Magistrate Doimukh with their Police Security (Report of Returning Officer, RO in Annexure IV) enclosed. Our RGU security cell as part of the duty did not witness or record any unrest or unlawful activities at RGU campus, RO Office, Voting Hall from 16 - 25 September 2024. Election was peacefully held on 24th September 2024 from 8.00 AM onward at RGU Convention Hall and voting lasted till 4.PM with a voters turn out of more than 80%.
- VI. Counting of Vote started at around 4.20 PM inside RGU Convention Hall under supervision of Returning Officer and his team, with full and tight security of IRBN Police platoon, RGU security apparatus under guidance of Sub-Division Magistrate Doimukh and RO, thus completed peacefully, and result was declared between 7.30 - 8.00 M on 24 September 2024. Returning Officer Prof. Tasi Kaye announced the result of the election. Presidential candidate Mr. Charu Markum secured 1705 Votes and Won the Election by a sufficient margin of 798 while his opponent candidate Mr. Nabam Doni secured only 907 votes (Annexure I).

(Shri Badal Techi) Senior Security Officer (IO)

Rajiv Gandhi University Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 To

The investigation officer

RGUSU Election

Rajiv Gandhi University

Rono hills Doimukh (A.P)

SUB: Clarification with reference to the complain

On 22/09/24-25/09/2024 Regarding RGUSU ELECTION

RESPECTIVE SIR,

WITH DUE RESPECT HUMBLE. I MR NANGBIA PALO WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY THAT I AND MARKUM CHARU NO RELATION AND HAS NO MUTUAL GROUND WITH REGARDS TO RGUSU ELECTION, I WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT I WAS THERE ARE THE GROUND AS A SECURING FINANCE SECRETARY OF RGUSU, I WAS THERE ON THE GROUND BTO WITNESSING THE ELECTION PROCESS ON 22/03/2024 WHEN THE VIDEO GOT VIRAL AND I WAS THERE ON 25/09/2024 WITNESSING THE VOTING AND COUNTING TO ENSORE FREE AND FAIR ELECTION.

THANKING YOU

SINCERFLY

MR NANGBIA PALO

FINANCE SECRETARY

RGUSU

PH.7642919200

Resolved States of the second

Ameanre - 1

The investigation officer
RGUSU Election
Rajiv Gandhi University
Rono hills Doimukh (A.P)

SUB: Clarification with reference to the complain
On 22/09/24- 25/09/2024 Regarding RGUSU ELECTION



RESPECTIVE SIR,

WITH DUE RESPECT HUMBLE. I MR HIDUNG POLEWOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY THAT I AND MARKUM CHARU NO RELATION AND HAS NO MUTUAL GROUND WITH REGARDS TO RGUSU ELECTION, I WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT I WAS THERE ARE THE GROUND AS A SECURING FINANCE SECRETARY OF RGUSU, I WAS THERE ON THE GROUND BTO WITNESSING THE ELECTION PROCESS ON 22/03/2024 WHEN THE VIDEO GOT VIRAL AND I WAS THERE ON 25/09/2024 WITNESSING THE VOTING AND COUNTING TO ENSORE FREE AND FAIR ELECTION.

THANKING YOU

SINCERELY

MR. HIDUNG POLE

CONVENOR RGUSU

8258942093

a certain finance

Ameanre - Ir

The investigation officer

RGUSU ELECTION

Rajiv Gandhi University

Rono hills Doimukh (A.P)



Sub: clarification with reference to complain on 22/09/24 and 25/09/24 regarding RGUSU ELECTION

Respect sir

Will utmost respect and humble submission. I habu Taluk would like to clarify the allegations made against me on 22 and 25. September sir, I would like to tell you that I came on 22nd of the month for seeking admission for my sister in the department of botany.

Moreover I came to RGU for ensuing ANSU election matter therefore I totally condemn the allegations made against me on 25th September 2024

I hope you all satisfied with my clarification

Thanking you

Sincerely

Habu Taluk

Asst. Art & Culture 01/10/24

All kra daadi district student union

Ph.,87988 66267

hier 2000

3655

Dated 30th September 2024

To

Investigation Officer (IO) Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112 Arunachal Pradesh, India.

Sub: Submission of Reply/report regarding influence of outsiders, Election ResultofRGUSU Election 2024-Reg.

Dear 10,

This is in reference to the Letter No.: RGU/DSW/GC/RGUSU/ELECT/2024/03, Dated 25.09.2024 from Chairman Grievances Redressal Committee RGUSU Election 2024 requesting RO Office to submit reply through IO Security Officer RGU regarding alleged involvement/influence of three persons, namely Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk (alleged as outsiders by complainant) in RGUSU Election held on 24.09.2024 in support of Mr. Markum Charu, President Elect (RGUSU, 2024-2025) based on the complaint dated 22 September 2024 and 25 September 2024 filed by Mr. Nabam Doni, Presidential candidate (Contest).

Thereply and response of Returning Office, RGUSU Election 2024 as per provided TOR are as follows:

- 1. Returning Office of RGUSU 2024 Election has no idea about involvement and interference of Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk in the election process.
- 2. We have not seen any evidence to suggest about direct or indirect involvement and interference of Mr. Nangbia Palo, Mr. Hidung Pol and Mr. Habu Taluk in influencing RGUSU 2024 Election outcome.
- 3. They were not seen involving in election process during filing of nomination at Returning Office on 17 and 18 September 2024 and not seen interfering in smooth conduct of election at Convention Hall during election and voting day on 24 September 2024.
- 4. During entire election process, no unlawful and destructive activities, no vandalization, no provocative/communal public speech during election rally, no manhandling of Returning Officer team was found.
- 5. Election of RGUSU 2024 was conducted peacefully on 24 September under the strict supervision of Returning Officer (RO) and his team as per Lyngdoh Committee Recommendation. We received intelligence and security assistance from RGU Security Cell and Sub-Divisional Magistrate Doimukh with their Police Security. Voting was started from 8.00 AM onward at RGU Convention Hall and lasted till 4.PM with a voters turn out of more than 80%.
- 6. Counting of Vote started at around 4.20 PM inside RGU Convention Hall under the strict supervision of Returning Officer, Polling Agents of the contesting candidates and under tight security presence of IRBN Police and RGU security apparatus supervised by the concerned Magistrate and DSW. The result was declared between 9.00 pm to 9.30 pm on 24 September 2024. The total valid vote casted for Presidential Candidate was 2612. Presidential candidate Mr. Markum Charu secured 1705 Votes and Won the Election by a sufficient margin of 798 while Mr. Nabam Doni (presidential candidate) got only 907 votes (Annexure I).

Copy to:

1. DSW, RGU for information please.

(Propelly Gandhi University
Returning Office Hills) Returning Officer **RGUSU Election-2024**

(Prof. Tasi Kaye)

RETURNING OFFICER
RETURNING LECTION RGUSU ELECTION

2. Office copy.

Anaexene - I

OFFICE OF THE RETURNING OFFICER RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY STUDENTS UNION ELECTION-2024

No. ADPE/SU/Election-7/2006

Dated 24th September 2024

RESULT SHEET

The following candidates have been declared elected to the respective posts during the election held from 8.00 am to 4.00 pm on 24th September 2024 at Convention Hall, RGU for the executive members of Rajiv Gandhi University Students' Union for the academic session 2024-25.

Name of the Post	Name of the candidate	Total valid votes casted for the post	Total vote secured	Result	Margin
President	Mr. Markum Charu	2612	1705	WON	798
	Mr. Nabam Doni		907		-
Secy. Literary and Academic Affairs	Mr. Senia Rebe	2412	1494	WON	576
	Mr. Tap Tagia		918		_
Co-Editor	Mr. Ngurang Tadang	2340	954	-	5 10
	Mr. Higio Tach		1386	WON	432

Prof. Tasi Kaye Returning Officer

Copy to:

- 1. PS to VC for his information please.
- 2. PA to Registrar for his information please.
- 3. DSW for information please.
- 4. All HoDs/Director of Institutes for information please.
- 5. Jt. Director (CC) for information with a request to upload in the university website.
- 6. Sr. Security Officer, RGU for information please.
- Office copy.

Prof. Tasi Kaye

EYDRNING OFFICER RGUSU ELECTION Rajiv Gandhi University

(Rono Hills)